

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/030,596	MILES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael V. Battaglia	2627	

All Participants:

(1) Michael V. Battaglia.

(2) John A. Lingl.

Status of Application: _____

(3) William R. Korzuch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2 and 9-11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized Examiner's Amendment to write the subject matter of claim 2 into claim 1, rejoin claims 9-11, and correct grammatical mistakes.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)